FRUSTRATION EFFECTS AND PHASE DIAGRAM OF TOPOLOGICAL SUPERCONDUCTOR - LUTTINGER LIQUID JUNCTIONS

D. Giuliano Dipartimento di Fisica, Università della Calabria

I. Affleck

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of British Columbia

Napoli, 04/07/2013

Motivation

- Frustration effects arise when a system "cannot decide" which phase to flow into. They often lead to the emergence of novel phases, with unconventional properties, such as non-Fermi liquid phases [two-channel Kondo effect], spin liquids, etc.;
- Using the properties of Majorana fermions coupled to a Luttinger liquid to design a junction where the system "switches" between conventional phases by passing through a (partially stable) new, unconventional phase.

Plan of the presentation

- Junction between a single Luttinger liquid and a topological superconductor;
- Junction between two Luttinger liquid and a topological superconductors: description;
- Phase diagram, "trivial" fixed points, nontrivial fixed point;
- Detecting the NTFP in a transport experiment;
- The g-theorem and the junction phase diagram;
- Conclusions and further developments.

 Effective low-energy description of the topological superconductor: two localized Majorana fermions γ', γ at the two endpoints [For instance, a spinless quantum wire with p-wave pairing and open boundary conditions [A Yu Kitaev Phys.-Usp. 44, 131 (2001)]. This can be realized, for instance, in a semiconducting quantum wire with strong spin-orbit interaction embedded into a superconducting quantum interference device [R. M. Lutchyn, J. D. Sau, and S. Das Sarma, PRL 105, 077001 (2010)], or simply in proximity to a "standard" s-wave superconductor [Y. Oreg, G. Refael, and F. von Oppen, PRL 105 177002 (2010)].



 Sub-gap scattering processes ⇐ effective boundary interaction Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} H_B &= V_B \psi^{\dagger}(0) \psi(0) + \Delta_B \{ \psi(0) \partial_x \psi(0) + \mathrm{h.c.} \} \\ &+ t \gamma [\psi(0) - \psi^{\dagger}(0)] \end{aligned}$$

• Scaling dimensions [noninteracting case] $d_{V_B} = 1$ [marginal]; $d_{\Delta_B} = 2$ [irrelevant]; $d_t = 1/2$ [relevant]. All the low-energy physics is determined by the term $\propto t$

 Relevance of t ⇒ resonant (sub-gap) Andreev backscattering at the Fermi level (in the p/h-basis)

$$S(E=0; t \neq 0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & e^{i\beta} \\ e^{-i\beta} & 0 \end{bmatrix}; S(E=0; t=0) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\alpha} \end{bmatrix}$$

 Physical interpretation: resonant backscattering in the *p* – *h*-channel [K. T. Law, P. A. Lee, and T. K. Ng, PRL 103, 237001 (2009)]



[At the Fermi level the resonance in the $e \rightarrow h$ Andreev scattering processes with |A| = 1 is assured by the "natural" condition $t_1 = t_2 = t$]

 Adding interaction in the normal wire ⇒ standard bosonization recipe: "bulk" Hamiltonian, boundary fermionic field

$$H_0 = \frac{u}{2} \int_0^\infty dx \left[\mathcal{K}(\partial_x \phi)^2 + \mathcal{K}^{-1}(\partial_x \theta)^2 \right], \ \psi(0) \sim i \Gamma e^{i \sqrt{\pi} \phi(0)}$$

K < 1 for a repulsive interaction

• Boundary interaction Hamiltonian

$$H_B \sim \tilde{V}_N \partial_x \phi(0) + \tilde{\Delta}_B \cos[2\sqrt{\pi}\phi(0)] + 2i\tilde{t}\gamma \Gamma \cos[\sqrt{\pi}\phi(0)]$$

• Scaling dimensions [interacting case]: $d_{\tilde{V}_B} = 1$ [marginal]; $d_{\tilde{\Delta}_B} = 2/K$ [irrelevant]; $d_{\tilde{t}} = 1/(2K)$ [relevant, for K > 1/2].

• Phase diagram for a generic Luttinger parameter *K* [L. Fidkowski, J. Alicea, N. Lindner, R. M. Lutchyn, and M. P. A. Fisher, PRB 85, 245121 (2012)]

Perfect Andreev Reflection



• Fixed point dc conductance

$$G(V) = 0$$
, (N)
 $G(V) = \frac{2e^2}{h}$, (A)

• Nonlinear corrections to the dc conductance

$$G(V) = \left| \frac{V}{V_*} \right|^{-2 + \frac{1}{\kappa}}, (V \gg V_*)$$

$$G(V) = \frac{2e^2}{h} - \left| \frac{V}{V_*} \right|^{-2 + 4\kappa}, (V \ll V_*)$$

Analysis of the A-fixed point

$$H_t = 2t\left(d^{\dagger}d - rac{1}{2}
ight)\cos[\sqrt{\pi}\phi(0)] \ , \ (d = rac{1}{2}(\gamma + i\Gamma))$$

• $\cos[\sqrt{\pi}\phi(0)]$ pinned at ± 1 , residual boundary interaction given by $\tilde{V}_N\psi^{\dagger}(0)\psi(0) \Rightarrow$ leading boundary perturbation

$$ilde{H}_B\sim 2ar{t}\cos[2\sqrt{\pi} heta(0)]$$

The scaling dimension is $d_{\tilde{H}_B} = 2K$, which motivates the exponent in the subleading power-law dependence of G(V) on V [C. L. Kane, M. P. A. Fisher, PRB 46, 15233 (1992)].

 Contact two one-dimensional normal channels with the superconductor: this may either be realized as a "T"-junction with two actual wires, or by interfacing two channels with the topological superconductor (?) [I. Affleck and D. Giuliano, J. Stat. Mech. (2013) P06011]



• Coupling to the Majorana fermion

$$H_t = \gamma \sum_{j=1}^2 t_j [\psi_j(0) - \psi_j^{\dagger}(0)]$$

• Noninteracting case \Rightarrow one-channel case

$$\psi_{1}' = \frac{t_{1}}{\sqrt{t_{1}^{2} + t_{2}^{2}}}\psi_{1} + \frac{t_{2}}{\sqrt{t_{1}^{2} + t_{2}^{2}}}\psi_{2}$$

$$\psi_{2}' = -\frac{t_{2}}{\sqrt{t_{1}^{2} + t_{2}^{2}}}\psi_{1} + \frac{t_{2}}{\sqrt{t_{1}^{2} + t_{2}^{2}}}\psi_{2}$$
(1)

 Interacting case with "rotational symmetry" between the channles ⇒ one-channel case

• T-junction (no inter-channel interaction)

$$H_0 = \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{u_j}{2} \int_0^\infty dx \left[K_j (\partial_x \phi_j)^2 + K_j^{-1} (\partial_x \theta_j)^2 \right]$$
$$H_M = 2i\gamma \sum_{j=1}^2 t_j \Gamma_j \cos[\sqrt{\pi} \phi_j(0)]$$

• Phase diagram $\leftarrow \epsilon$ -expansion and renormalization group equations [Set $d_j = \frac{1}{2K_j}$ with $d_j = 1 - \epsilon_j$, $(0 < \epsilon_j \ll 1)$, then write RG equations to leading nontrivial order in the ϵ_j 's]

To construct the β-functions for the t_j's, we use the O.P.E. approach in "deformed" conformal field theories: we expand the partition functions in powers of the t_j's and require that a small change in the short-[imaginary time] cutoff τ₀ is reabsorbed by redefining the t_j's. In general, it is enough knowing two-point O.P.E.'s [J.L. Cardy, Scaling and Renormalization in Statistic Physics, Cambridge University Press, 1996.]. Here, the first nonlinear contribution arises from three-point O.P.E.'s

$$\mathbf{T}_{\tau}\left[\prod_{u=1}^{3} O_{j}(\tau_{u})\right] \sim \frac{O_{j}(\tau_{1})}{4} \left[\left| \frac{\tau_{12}}{\tau_{13}\tau_{23}} \right|^{\frac{1}{K_{j}}} + \left| \frac{\tau_{13}}{\tau_{13}\tau_{23}} \right|^{\frac{1}{K_{j}}} + \left| \frac{\tau_{23}}{\tau_{13}\tau_{13}} \right|^{\frac{1}{K_{j}}} \right] \\ \mathbf{T}_{\tau}\left[\left(\prod_{u=1}^{2} O_{1}(\tau_{u})\right) O_{2}(\tau_{3})\right] \sim \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{1}{\tau_{12}} \right|^{\frac{1}{K_{1}}} O_{2}(\tau_{3})$$

Renormalization group equations

$$\frac{d\bar{t}_1}{d\ln(D/D_0)} = \epsilon_1 \bar{t}_1 - 4\bar{t}_1 \bar{t}_2^2 , \ \frac{d\bar{t}_2}{d\ln(D/D_0)} = \epsilon_2 \bar{t}_2 - 4\bar{t}_2 \bar{t}_1^2$$

Phase diagram stable [A] ⊗ [N], [N] ⊗ [A] FP's, unstable
 [N] ⊗ [N], [A] ⊗ [A] FP's, partially stable NTCP



Figure: a) $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2$, b) $\epsilon_1 \neq \epsilon_2$

- Set $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = \epsilon$, $d_j = 1 \epsilon \Rightarrow$ unstable $N \otimes N$ -fixed point Assume $t_1(D = D_0) > t_2(D = D_0)$ and take $A \otimes N$ as "putative" fixed point
- At strong t₁, cos[√πφ₁(0)] is "pinned" at α = ±1 and γ "entangles" with Γ₁, so that the ground state |G⟩_α is determined by (γ + iαΓ₁) |G⟩_α = 0
- As $\phi_1(0)$ is pinned, the bosonized operators at $A \otimes N$ are built according to the bosonization rules $\psi_1(0) \propto e^{\pm i \sqrt{\pi} \theta_1(0)}$, $\psi_2(0) \propto e^{i \sqrt{\pi} \phi_2(0)}$
- Construct the various operators allowed by symmetry at the $A \otimes N$ -fixed point

- Intra-wire normal backscattering $H_{IN} = V_I \psi_1^{\dagger}(0)\psi_1(0) \sim \tilde{V}_I \cos[2\sqrt{2}\theta_1(0)], \ [d_{IN} = 2K_1 > 1],$ <u>irrelevant</u>
- Inter-wire normal backscattering
 $$\begin{split} H_{IW} &= V_W \psi_1^{\dagger}(0) \psi_2(0) + \text{h.c.} \sim \tilde{V}_W \cos[\sqrt{\pi}\theta_1(0)] e^{i\sqrt{\pi}\phi_2(0)} + \text{h.c.} , \\ [d_{IN} &= (K_1 + 1/K_2)/2 > 1], \text{ irrelevant} \end{split}$$
- Inter-wire pairing

 $H_{IP} = \Delta_B \psi_1(0) \psi_2(0) + h.c. \sim \tilde{\Delta}_B \cos[\sqrt{\pi}\theta_1(0)] e^{i\sqrt{\pi}\phi_2(0)} + h.c.$, $[d_{IN} = (K_1 + 1/K_2)/2 > 1]$, <u>irrelevant</u>

- Coupling to channel-2 is $H_2 \propto \gamma \Gamma_2 \cos[\sqrt{\pi}\phi_2(0)]$. This looks like $d_2 = 1/(2K_2) < 1 \Rightarrow$ relevant ??
- Correct approach to the problem \Rightarrow Schrieffer-Wolff transformation using $\gamma \Gamma_2 | G \rangle_{\pm} 1 \propto | G \rangle_{\mp} 1$
- Second-order SW transformation $\Rightarrow \tilde{H}_2 \propto \frac{t_2^2}{t_1} \cos[2\sqrt{\pi}\phi_2(0)], [d_2 = 2/K_2 > 1], irrelevant$
- <u>Conclusion</u>: A ⊗ N and N ⊗ A are both stable. The transition between the two of them cannot pass through N ⊗ N (which is unstable in any direction). Thus, it may either pass through a A ⊗ A fixed point, or through a novel (partially stable) NTCP

- Ruling out the A ⊗ A option ⇒ A ⊗ A fully unstable (analysis of the operators allowed by symmetry)
- Assuming $t_1(D_0) = t_2(D_0)$ might lead to a "putative" fixed point, with $\cos[\sqrt{\pi}\phi_j(0)]$ pinned at α_j and with groundstate $|G\rangle_{A\otimes A}$ such that $\left[\gamma + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(\alpha_1\Gamma_1 + \alpha_2\Gamma_2)\right]|G\rangle_{A\otimes A} = 0$
- Intra-channel backscattering $H_{NI} \propto \sum_{j=1}^{2} V_{NI,j} \psi_{j}^{\dagger}(0) \psi_{j}(0) \propto \sum_{j=1}^{2} \tilde{V}_{NI,j} \cos[2\sqrt{\pi}\theta_{j}(0)],$ $[d_{NI} = 2K > 1], \text{ irrelevant}$
- Inter-channel backscattering $H_{WI} \propto V_W \psi_1^{\dagger}(0)\psi_2(0) + \text{h.c.} \propto \tilde{V}_W \cos[\sqrt{\pi}(\theta_1(0) - \theta_2(0))],$ $[d_w = K], \text{ relevant} \text{ if } K < 1$
- Inter-channel pairing $H_{Pl} \propto \Delta_B \psi_1(0) \psi_2(0) + \text{h.c.} \propto \tilde{\Delta}_B \cos[\sqrt{\pi}(\theta_1(0) + \theta_2(0))],$ $[d_B = K], \text{ relevant} \text{ if } K < 1$

• Our results may be generalized to the case of nonzero inter-channel **bulk** interaction $[\phi(\theta)_{\rho(\sigma)} = (\phi(\theta)_1 \pm \phi(\theta)_2)/\sqrt{2}]$

$$H_0 = \sum_{\lambda = \rho, \sigma} \frac{u_{\lambda}}{2} \int_0^{\infty} dx \left[K_{\lambda} (\partial_x \phi_{\lambda})^2 + K_{\lambda}^{-1} (\partial_x \theta_{\lambda})^2 \right]$$

• Renormalization Group equations $[\nu = (2K_{\rho})^{-1} - (2K_{\sigma})^{-1}]$

 $\frac{d\bar{t}_1}{d\ln(D/D_0)} = \epsilon_1 \bar{t}_1 - \mathcal{F}(\nu) \bar{t}_1 \bar{t}_2^2 , \quad \frac{d\bar{t}_2}{d\ln(D/D_0)} = \epsilon_2 \bar{t}_2 - \mathcal{F}(\nu) \bar{t}_2 \bar{t}_1^2$



<u>Conclusion</u>: the A ⊗ A-fixed point comes out to be unstable, just as the N ⊗ N-fixed point. A "minimal" hypothesis leads to the necessary existence of a NTCP at intermediate couplings
 t_{1,*} = t_{2,*} ∝ √ϵ.

[4] Detecting the NTFP: transport measurement

- Apply a voltage bias V_j to channel-*j* and measure the (linear) conductance tensor $G_{i,j}$, defined by $I_j = \sum_{i=1}^2 G_{j,i} V_i$
- For small ("bare") t_j 's, $G_{j,i}$ can be computed perturbatively in the t_j 's. If we neglect the inter-wire interactions, then $G_{j,i}$ is purely diagonal, and

$$G_{j,j} = rac{e^2}{h} (2\pi ar{t}_j)^2 \;\;,\; (ar{t}_j = t_j (D/D_0)^{-1+rac{1}{2K_j}})$$

• As the scale $D/D_0 \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$G_{j,j}
ightarrow rac{e^2}{h}(2\piar{t}_{j,*})^2 = rac{4\pi^2e^2}{h}rac{\epsilon_j}{\mathcal{F}(
u)}$$

[4] Detecting the NTFP: transport measurement

- a) Expected behavior of $G_{j,j}$ if the system flows towards the $A \otimes N$ fixed point
- **b)** Expected behavior of $G_{j,j}$ if the system flows towards the NTFP



[5] g-theorem and phase diagram

- "In a given boundary interaction problem, it is possible to define a function g which always decreases under renormalization between two different boundary fixed points (associated with the same boundary critical point)" [I. Affleck, A. W. W. Ludwig, PRL 67, 161 (1991); PRB 48, 7297 (1992)]
- g is the "boundary analog" of Zamolodchikov's c-function in conformal field theories, which is proportional to the coefficient in the linear specific heat, and decreases under renormalization between two different bulk critical points.
- g is identified with the "groundstate degeneracy" associated with the impurity. More precisely, g is related to the scale-independent contribution to the entanglement entropy associated with the boundary interaction [P. Calabrese, J. Cardy, J.Stat.Mech.0406: P06002 (2004).

[5] g-theorem and phase diagram

- The recipe for computing the *g*-function at the "conformal" boundary fixed points
- System on a segment of length ℓ with conformally invariant boundary conditions A and B at its endpoints: partition function

$$\mathcal{Z}_{AB}[u/(\ell T)] = \sum_{n} \exp[-x_{AB}^{n} u/(\ell T)]$$

• Take the limit $u/(\ell T) \to 0$

 $\mathcal{Z}_{AB}[u/(\ell T)] \rightarrow_{u/(\ell T) \rightarrow 0} g_{A}g_{B} e^{\pi \ell T c/6u}$

[5] g-theorem and phase diagram

• Result for $g_{N\otimes N}$

$$g_{N\otimes N}=2(K_1K_2)^{\frac{1}{4}}$$

• Result for $g_{A\otimes N}$

$$g_{A\otimes N}=\sqrt{2}(K_2/K_1)^{\frac{1}{4}}$$

• Result for $g_{A\otimes A}$

$$g_{A\otimes A}=4/(K_2K_1)^{\frac{1}{4}}$$

• Result for g_{NTFP} [ϵ -expansion + dimensional regularization]

$$g_{NTFP} = g_{N\otimes N} \left[1 - rac{2\pi^2(\epsilon_1^2 + \epsilon_2^2)}{\mathcal{F}(
u)}
ight]$$

[6] Conclusions and further developments

- Having more than one normal channel connected to a topological superconductor opens the way to novel physics
- Frustration mechanism: similar to two-channel Kondo effect, but the NTCP has a different nature, which still need to be explored
- Extension to multi-(normal) channel junctions
- Experimental realization of our system
- Fingerprints of the frustration physics in dc Josephson current measurements
- Nonlinear conductance: "Kane-Fisher"-like physics