SUSY Search at Future Collider and Dark Matter Experiments

D. P. Roy

Homi Bhabha Centre for Science Education Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Mumbai – 400088, India & Instituto de Fisica Corpuscular, CSIC-U. de Valencia Valencia, Spain

Outline

- SUSY : Merits & Problems
- Nature of LSP : Bino, Higgsino or Wino
- DM Constraints on Bino, Higgsino & Wino LSP Scenarios (mSUGRA & mAMSB Models)
- Bino LSP Signals at LHC
- Higgsino & Wino LSP Signals at CLIC
- Bino, Higgsino & Wino LSP Signals in DM Expts
- Nonminimal Models for Higgsino, Wino & Bino LSP

WHY SUSY :

- A. Natural Soln to the Hierarchy Problem of EWSB
- B. Natural (Radiative) Mechanism for EWSB
- C. Natural Candidate for the cold DM (LSP)
- D. Unification of Gauge Couplings @ GUT Scale

PROBLEMS WITH SUSY :

1. Little Hierarchy Problem

2. Flavour & CP Viol. Problem



$$m_h > 114 \text{ GeV} (LEP) \Rightarrow m_{\tilde{t}} > 1 \text{ TeV}$$

Split SUSY solves 2 at the cost of aggravating1.

$$\begin{array}{l} m_{\tilde{f}} >>> 1 TeV \implies No \; (A \& B) \\ m_{\chi^{\pm,o}} \approx 1 TeV \implies C \& D \end{array} \end{array}$$



We shall consider a more moderate option, allowing $m_{\tilde{f}} = 10 - 100 TeV$

Nature of the Lightest Superparticle (LSP) in the MSSM:

Astrophysical Constraints \Rightarrow Colourless & Chargeless LSP Direct DM Detection Expts \Rightarrow LSP not Sneutrino

$$\therefore LSP \rightarrow \chi \equiv \chi_1^0 = c_1 \widetilde{B} + c_2 \widetilde{W} + c_3 \widetilde{H}_d + c_4 \widetilde{H}_u$$

 $M_N = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 & -M_Z \sin \theta_W \cos \beta & M_Z \sin \theta_W \sin \beta \\ 0 & M_2 & M_Z \cos \theta_W \cos \beta & -M_Z \cos \theta_W \sin \beta \\ -M_Z \sin \theta_W \cos \beta & M_Z \cos \theta_W \cos \beta & 0 & -\mu \\ M_Z \sin \theta_W \sin \beta & -M_Z \cos \theta_W \sin \beta & -\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Diagonal elements : M_1 , M_2 , $\pm \mu$ in the basis $\tilde{B}, \tilde{W} \& \tilde{H}_{1,2} = \tilde{H}_d \pm \tilde{H}_u$ Nondiagonal elements < M_z

Exptl Indications \Rightarrow M₁, M₂, μ > 2M_z in mSUGRA $\Rightarrow \chi \cong \tilde{B}, \tilde{W}or\tilde{H}$

Exception : $M_{ii} \approx M_{jj} \Rightarrow \tan 2\theta_{ij} = 2M_{ij} / (M_{ii} - M_{jj}) \ large \Rightarrow \chi = \widetilde{B} - \widetilde{H}, \widetilde{W} - \widetilde{H}$ "Well-tempered Neutralino Scenario" Arkani-Hamed, Delgado & Giudice

DM Relic Density Constraints on Bino, Higgsino & Wino LSP Scenarios

mSUGRA: SUSY Br in HS communicated to the OS via grav. Int. $\Rightarrow m_0, m_{\frac{1}{2}}, \tan\beta, A_0, \operatorname{sign}(\mu)$ at GUT scale (A0 = 0 & +ve μ)

RGE (Weak Sc masses)

 $\tilde{B}: M_{1} = (\alpha_{1} / \alpha_{G}) m_{1/2} \approx 0.4 m_{1/2} \& \tilde{W}: M_{2} = (\alpha_{2} / \alpha_{G}) m_{1/2} \approx 0.8 m_{1/2}$

Imp Weak Sc Scalar mass *M_{Hu}*

$$EWSB \Rightarrow \mu^{2} + M_{Z}^{2}/2 = \frac{M_{Hd}^{2} - M_{Hu}^{2} \tan^{2} \beta}{\tan^{2} \beta - 1} \approx -M_{Hu}^{2} \otimes \tan \beta > 5$$

$$\| \text{(LEP)}$$

RGE: $-M_{Hu}^{2} = \underbrace{C_{1}(\alpha_{i}, h_{t}, \tan \beta)}_{-\varepsilon} M_{0}^{2} + \underbrace{C_{2}(\alpha_{i}, h_{t}, \tan \beta)}_{\approx 2} M_{1/2}^{2}$

Hyperbolic Br (tan $\beta > 5$) of μ^2 :

$$m_0 \approx m_{1/2} \Longrightarrow \left| \mu \right| > M_1 \Longrightarrow \widetilde{B} - LSP$$
$$m_0 \gg m_{1/2} \Longrightarrow \left| \mu \right| < M_1 \Longrightarrow \widetilde{H} - LSP$$

Chattopadhyay et al



$m_0 \sim m_{1/2} \rightarrow \text{TeV}$ (Bino LSP)

 $\begin{array}{l} m_h > 115 \; GeV \Rightarrow m_{1/2} > 400 \; GeV \; (M_1 {>} 2M_Z) \\ \Rightarrow also \; large \; sfermion \; mass \end{array}$

Bino does not carry any gauge charge \Rightarrow Pair annihilate via sfermion exch



Large sfermion mass \Rightarrow too large Ωh^2 Except for the stau co-ann. region





Wino LSP (mAMSB model)

SUSY braking in HS in communicated to the OS via the Super-Weyl Anomaly Cont. (Loop)

$$M_{\lambda} = \frac{\beta_{g}}{g} m_{3/2} \Rightarrow M_{1} = \frac{33}{5} \frac{g_{1}^{2}}{16\pi^{2}} m_{3/2}, M_{2} = \frac{g_{2}^{2}}{16\pi^{2}} m_{3/2}, M_{3} = -3 \frac{g_{3}^{2}}{16\pi^{2}} m_{3/2}$$
$$A_{y} = -\frac{\beta_{y}}{y} m_{3/2} \& m_{\phi}^{2} = -\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial g} \beta_{g} + \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial y} \beta_{y} \right) m_{3/2}^{2} + m_{0}^{2}$$

 $m_{3/2}$, m_0 , tan β , sign (μ)

 $\mathsf{RGE} \Rightarrow M_1: M_2: |M_3| \approx 2.8: 1: 7.1$ including 2-loop conts



 $\widetilde{W}-LSP: M_2=2.1\pm 0.2 TeV \ \& \ \widetilde{H}-LSP: \mu\cong 1 TeV(m_\phi=10-30 TeV)$

Robust results, independent of other SUSY parameters (Valid in any SUSY model with Wino(Higgsino) LSP) **Bino LSP Signal at LHC :**

$$\tilde{q}\tilde{q} \to q\bar{q}\chi\chi \to jj \notin_T; \tilde{g}\tilde{g} \to q\bar{q}q\bar{q}\chi\chi \to jjjj \notin_T$$

Canonical Multijet + Missing- E_T signal with possibly additional jets (leptons) from cascade decay (Valid through out the Bino LSP parameter space, including the **Res.Ann Region**)

Focus Point Region:
$$M_{Hu}^2 = m_0^2 - (3/2) \underbrace{y_t}_{\approx 2/3} m_0^2 - \underbrace{C_2}_{\approx 2} m_{1/2}^2 = + \mathcal{E} m_0^2 - 2m_{1/2}^2 = -\mu^2 - M_Z^2 / 2$$

 $m_0 \gg m_{1/2} \Rightarrow small |\mu| \approx M_1$

$$m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2 = m_0^2 - \underbrace{y_t}_{2/3} m_0^2 + Cm_{1/2}^2 = (1/3)m_0^2 + Cm_{1/2}^2; m_{\tilde{u},\tilde{d}}^2 = m_0^2 + Cm_{1/2}^2$$

Inverted Hierarchy

$$\begin{split} m_{0} &= 2TeV, m_{1/2} = 0.5TeV \& \tan \beta = 10 \\ \Rightarrow m_{\tilde{g}} &= 1.3TeV, m_{\tilde{t}_{1}} = 1.5TeV, m_{\tilde{u},\tilde{d}} \ge 2.2TeV \\ \Rightarrow \tilde{g} \xrightarrow{\tilde{t}_{1}} \to \bar{t}t\chi_{i}^{0}, \bar{t}b\chi_{j}^{+} \to 2b2W\chi... \\ \Rightarrow \tilde{g}\tilde{g} \to 4b + 4W (\to leptons) + \notin_{T} \end{split}$$





Focus Pt SUSY Signal at LHC





With $p_T > 20$ GeV cut for the τ -jet the τ misid. Probability from QCD jets goes down from 6% for R > 0.3 ($p_{T\pi\pm} > 6$ GeV) to 0.25% for R > 0.8 ($p_{T\pi\pm} > 16$ GeV), while retaining most Of the signal.



 $\Rightarrow \pi^{\pm}$ are too soft to detect at LHC without any effective tag \Rightarrow Must go to an e⁺e⁻ Collider with reqd. beam energy (CLIC)



 $\chi \pm$ decay tracks :

 $\Delta m < 1 \text{ GeV} \Rightarrow \chi \pm \text{ and /or decay } \pi^{\pm} \text{ track with displaced vertex in MVX}$

 $\Delta m > 1 \text{ GeV} \Rightarrow 2 \text{ prompt } \pi^{\pm} \text{ tracks}$ (Used by OPAL to beat vvy background)

Higgsino LSP Signal at 3 TeV CLIC : $m_{\gamma} = \mu \approx 1$ TeV



Polarized e⁻ (80% R) & e⁺ (60% L) beams :

 $e_L^- e_R^+ \Rightarrow 2\% \operatorname{Pr} obability(25\% Unpolarized)$

⇒ Suppression of Bg by 0.08 & Sig by 0.8 ⇒ Increase of S/B by ~ 10



Prompt π^{\pm} tracks in the Background from Beamstrahlung



Wino LSP Signal at 5 TeV CLIC : $m_{\gamma} = M_2 \approx 2$ TeV



Both Wino Signal and Neutrino Bg couple only to $e_{L}^{-} \& e_{R}^{+}$.

 \Rightarrow One can not suppress Bg with polarized beams.

 \Rightarrow But one can use polarized beams to increase both Signal and Bg rates.

Polarized $e_L^{-}(80\%)$ & $e_R^{+}(60\%) \Rightarrow$ Probability of $e_L^{-}e_R^{+} = 72\%$ (25% Unpolarized) \Rightarrow Increase of Signal and Bg rates by factors of 72/25 \approx 3.

Bg effectively suppressed due to a robust prediction of charged and neutral wino mas diff. Δm



 $\Delta m = 165 - 190 \text{ MeV for } M_2 \approx 2 \text{ TeV } \& \mu > M_2$ $\Rightarrow c\tau = 3-7 \text{ cm (SLD MVX at 2.5 cm} \rightarrow 2 \text{ cm at future LC})$ $\Rightarrow \text{Tracks of } \tilde{W}^{\pm} \text{ as 2 heavily ionising particles along with their decay } \pi^{\pm} \text{ tracks.}$

Discovery potential is primarily determined by the number of Signal events.



Sig ~ 100 (300) events with Unpolarized (polarized) beams

The recoiling mass $M_{rec} > 2m_{\chi}$ helps to distinguish Sig from Bg & to estimate m_{χ} .

Bino, Higgsino & Wino LSP Signals in Dark Matter Detection Expts



2. Indirect Detection via HE v from $\chi\chi$ annihilation in the Sun (Ice Cube,Antares)

$$\chi \qquad p \qquad R_{\chi\chi}^{ann} = R_{\chi}^{trap} \propto \sigma_{\chi p} \propto g_{Z\chi\chi}^{2} \propto (c_{3}^{2} - c_{4}^{2})^{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow OKfor \ \chi = mixed \ (\tilde{B} - \tilde{H}) Foc \ Pt$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \ for \ \chi \cong \tilde{B}, \tilde{W} \ \& \ \tilde{H} \equiv \tilde{H}_{d} \pm \tilde{H}_{u}$$

3. Detection of HE γ Rays from Galactic Centre in ACT (HESS,CANGAROO,MAGIC,VERITAS) $\chi \cong \tilde{H} \& \tilde{W}$



 $v\sigma_{WW} \sim 10^{-26} \text{ cm}^3/\text{s}$ \Rightarrow Cont. γ Ray Signal (But too large $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma$ from Cosmic Rays)



 $v\sigma_{\gamma\gamma} \sim v\sigma_{\gamma Z} \sim 10^{-27} \cdot 10^{-28} \text{ cm}^{3/\text{s}}$ \Rightarrow Discrete γ Ray Line Signal ($E_{\gamma} \approx m_{\chi}$) (Small but Clean)

 γ flux coming from an angle ψ wrt Galactic Centre





HESS has reported TeV range γ rays from GC.

But with power law energy spec \Rightarrow SNR \Rightarrow Formidable Bg to DM Signal.

The source could be GC (Sgr A*) or the nearby SNR (Sgr A east) within its ang. res.

⇒Better energy & angular resolution to extract DM Signal from this Bg.

Higgsino, Wino & Bino LSP in nonminimal SUSY models

$$\tilde{H}$$
 LSP in SUGRA models with nonuniversal 1)scalar & 2)gaugino masses
 $m_{Hu}^2 = m_{0Hu}^2 - \frac{3}{2} \underbrace{y_t}_{\cong 2/3} m_{0\tilde{t}}^2 - \underbrace{C_2}_{\cong 2} m_{1/2}^2 = -\mu^2 - M_Z^2/2$
1)
 $m_{0Hu}^2 = m_{0\tilde{t}}^2 = m_0^2 \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}m_0^2 - 2m_{1/2}^2 \cong -\mu^2 - M_Z^2/2 \Rightarrow |\mu| > M_1 @ m_0 \approx m_{1/2}$
 $But : m_{0Hu}^2 = 3m_0^2 \Rightarrow 2m_0^2 - 2m_{1/2}^2 \cong -\mu^2 - M_Z^2/2 \Rightarrow |\mu| < M_1 @ m_0 \approx m_{1/2}$
 $\Rightarrow \tilde{H} - LSP$ J.Ellis et al,....

$$\begin{split} M_i^G &\equiv M_{\lambda i} \in \frac{\left\langle F_S \right\rangle_{ij}}{M_{Pl}} \lambda_i \lambda_j; i \& j = 1, 2, 3 \\ SU(5): F_S \supset 24 \otimes 24 = 1 + 24 + 75 + 200 \\ F_S &= 1 \Rightarrow M_{1,2,3}^G = m_{1/2} (Universal) \Rightarrow C_2 \cong 2 \Rightarrow \left| \mu \right| > M_1 @ m_0 \approx m_{1/2} \\ F_S &= 200 \Rightarrow M_{1,2,3}^G = (10, 2, 1) \times m_{1/2} \Rightarrow C_2 \cong 1.4 \Rightarrow \left| \mu \right| < M_1 \\ \Rightarrow \widetilde{H} - LSP \\ \end{split}$$
Chattopadhyay & Roy,....

Wino LSP in 1)Nonminimal AMSB & 2)String models

1) Tree level SUSY breaking contributions to gaugino and scalar masses

$$\begin{split} M_{\lambda} &\in \frac{\langle F_{S} \rangle}{M_{Pl}} \lambda \lambda; m_{\phi}^{2} \in \frac{\langle F_{S}^{\dagger} \rangle \langle F_{S} \rangle}{M_{Pl}^{2}} \phi^{*} \phi \\ F_{S} &\neq 1 (or 24 \otimes 24 \not\subset F_{S}) \Longrightarrow M_{\lambda} = 0 @ tree - level \\ But : m_{\phi} &\neq 0 @ tree - level (Symm.Consideration) \\ m_{\phi}(tree) \sim 100 M_{\lambda}(AMSB) \quad Giudice \ et \ al, \ Wells \end{split}$$

2) String Th: Tree level SUSY breaking masses come only from Dilaton field, while they receive only one-loop contributions from Modulii fields.

Assuming SUSY breaking by a Modulus field $\Rightarrow M_{\lambda} \& m_{\phi}^2$ at one-loop level $\Rightarrow M_2 < M_1 < M_3$ similar to the AMSB (\Rightarrow Wino LSP) & $m_{\phi} \sim 10 M_{\lambda}$

Brignole, Ibanez & Munoz '94

In these models: $M_{\tilde{W}} \approx 2TeV \Longrightarrow m_{\phi} \approx 10^{1-2}TeV$

Bino LSP in Non-universal Gaugino Mass Model

King, Roberts & Roy 07

Bulk annihilation region of Bino DM (yellow) allowed in Non-universal gaugino mass models

M3 = 300, 400, 500 & 600 GeV



Particle	Mass (GeV)
$\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (bino)	78.1
$\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ (wino)	457
$\tilde{\chi}_{3}^{0}$ (higgsino)	614
$\tilde{\chi}_4^0$ (higgsino)	636
$\tilde{\chi}_1^+$ (wino)	461
$\tilde{\chi}_2^+$ (higgsino)	635
M_1	81
M_2	470
μ	611
\tilde{g}	1150
$\tilde{\tau}_1$	104
$ ilde{ au}_2$	399
$\tilde{e}_{R}, \tilde{\mu}_{R}$	115
$\tilde{e}_L, \tilde{\mu}_L$	399
\tilde{t}_1	793
\tilde{t}_2	1025
\tilde{b}_1	980
\tilde{b}_2	1000
$\tilde{q}_{1,2,R}$	~ 1005
$\tilde{q}_{1,2,L}$	~ 1070

Light right sleptons Even left sleptons lighter than Wino =>Large leptonic BR of SUSY Cascade deacy via Wino