Earth crust memory, earthquake remote triggering and self-organised criticality

> Eugenio Lippiello, AMRA Cataldo Godano, SUN, CNISM Lucilla de Arcangelis, SUN, CNISM

Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche, Università di Napoli Federico II, 10 Febbraio 2006



1997.02.24

Earth crust is broken into plates moving due to convection currents in the magma
Most quakes occurs at plate boundaries (faults)
Energy builds up in "locked" plates ----> QUAKE
Fast energy release ----> P and S waves



Earthquakes exhibit self-similar scaling in energy, time and space distributions



Time distribution

Omori law

1894

At time t after a main shock at t=0

$$N_{AS}(t) \sim t^{-p}$$

$$N_{AS}(M) \sim 10^{\alpha M}$$

$$p \sim 1 \quad \alpha < 1$$



Inter-arrival time distribution $N(\Delta t)$



Inter-arrival time distribution $N(\Delta t)$







Bak, Tang, Wiesenfeld, PRL 1987

Dynamical systems spontaneously evolving toward a critical state without parameter tuning <u>no characteristic event size</u>



Fundamental ingredient: separation of time scales
Slow scale: adding a grain

Fast scale: propagation of an avalanche

Soc applied to many natural phenomena

- Slides and avalanches
- Neural activity
- Solar flares
- Fluctuations in confined plasma
- Biological evolution
- Earthquakes



Carlson-Langer PRL 1989

Size distribution

 $P(S) \sim S^{-1}$

Parameter independent

Similar to experimental distribution for seismic moment

Nonconservative model, Olami Feder

Christensen PRL 1992



Intertime distribution in SOC:exponential behaviour





Earthquake interactions are a fundamental feature of seismicity leading to clustering and aftershocks:

- A large earthquake modifies sesmic activity in large areas
- In 1992 Landers event (m=7.3) triggered 3h later Big Bear event (m=6.5) on a different fault
 Static stress changes cannot explain this seismic activity increase (<0.01 bar for Landers) and remote triggering

Non linear interactions and Coulomb stress changes modify <u>friction law</u> on remote faults

State-rate formulation (J. Dieterich, JGR 1994) for frictional instability writes friction in terms of normal stress, slip velocity and the system *state* (temperature distribution, pore pressure variation, chemical reaction, etc..)

The seismicity rate

 $R(t) = f(\Delta \sigma, R(t-\epsilon))$



Counters contain entire history of applied loads

•Earthquake triggering is detemined by the combined effect of the increase in the local stress and the local weakening of the fault due to load global history

Stress corrosion

Fatigue

Combined effect of mechanical stress and chemical agents

Material weakens under cyclic loads

Triggering mechanism

$$p_i = (s_i - s_c + z) / z$$

if
$$s_c - z \le s_i \le s_c$$
 whereas $p_i = 0$ if $s_i < s_c - z$
and $p_i = 1$ if $s_i > s_c$

Measures how close a site is to the critical stress



Seismic fracture probability depends on local instant stress and stress history contained in counters

 $0 \le c_i \le 1$

Firing at threshold

 $\alpha_i < \alpha_c$

Sites with $\alpha_i < \alpha_c$, are <u>unstable</u> because of the combined effect of high local stress p_i and entire history of loads stored in the counters c_i



Short time behaviour

Define
$$q_i(t) = 1 - \alpha_i$$
 for $0 \le \alpha_i \le 1$, zero otherwise, where $\alpha_i \equiv \frac{c_i}{p_i}$
At constant load $q_i(t+1) = q_i(t) - \alpha_{\min}$ Or if site fires $q_i(t+1) = 0$
 \Rightarrow Ensemble average $< q_i(t+1) > = (- <\alpha_{\min} >)P_i^s(t)$ where $P_i^s(t)$ probability for site *i* to be stable at time t
 \Rightarrow Spatial average $q(t) = \frac{1}{L^2} \sum_{i=1}^{L^2} q_i(t)$ for a generic site to be unstable at time t
 $\Rightarrow q(t) \cong 1 - P_i^s(t)$ for $\alpha_{\min} <<\alpha_i$ $q(t+1) \cong q(t)(1-q(t))$
 $\Rightarrow q(t) \approx t^{-1}$ Omori law



Magnitude and epicenter distance distribution



Relation with the state-rate equation

State-rate formulation for stick-slip frictional instability

$$\tau = \sum_{n} \left[A \ln V + B \ln \gamma + C \right]$$

$$\frac{d\gamma}{dt} = 1 - D\gamma V$$

 τ friction, V slip velocity, *En* normal stress, γ system state

A B C D phenomenological constants

In the model
$$\tau \approx s_c - z + zc_i / \alpha_c$$
 where $\sum_n \propto s_c$ is constant
and the slip velocity $V = \theta(s_i - \tau)$
 $c_i = \ln \gamma_i$ and from $c_i^{new} = c_i^{old} - \alpha_{\min} p_i$
we recover $\frac{d\gamma}{dt} = -\gamma_i p_i \alpha_{\min}$

Summary

Complex seismic activity is controlled by:

Iocal stress redistribution

•long range correlations in the earth crust

These mechanisms are implemented in self-consistent local laws

containing long range memory of stress history

•Large event may increase seismic activity by inducing global wekening,or inihibit future earthquakes by resetting global memory

Are there other phenomena with similar features?

Solar Flares

Solar flares are explosions of incredible power and violence that release energy equivalent to about 100 hurricanes in few minutes.

The strong and twisted magnetic fields in the vicinity of active sunspot groups are thought to provide the power that is released in the solar flares.



It is not known exactly how this occurs.

http://www.windows.ucar.edu/spaceweather/sun_earth4.html



The strongest flares occur only several times per year even in solar maximum.

Weaker flares are relatively common. Several tens of these weaker flares can occur in one day during active periods.

Large quantities of Xrays and hot particles may strike the Earth following a solar flare event.

The Xrays arrive in just 8 minutes time and the hot particles follow several hours later.



Analogy with earthquakes



- ➢Impulsive localised release of energy
- ➢Huge fluctuations
- ➢Power law in the distribution of flare size

 Various interpretations for power law behaviour:
 •turbulence (Boffetta,Carbone,Giuliani,Veltri,Vulpiani PRL 1999)
 •magnetohydrodynamics (Parker Physics Today 2000, Alpert Sci. Am. 2000)
 •self-organised criticality (Lu, Hamilton PRL 1991, Unren Nieedemi, Japan A 2002)

Hamon, Nicodemi, Jensen AA 2002)

A better understanding of flare time occurrence would improve knowledge of physical mechanism behind...

We consider different solar data catalogs

Name	Catalog	Nevents	Energy	Period
GOES	Soft X rays	21567	1.5-2.4 keV 3.1-24.8 keV	Jan 1992- Dec 2002
BATSE	Hard X rays	6658	>25 keV	Apr 1991- May2000
WATCH	Intermediate X rays	1551	10-30 keV	Jan 1990- Jul 1992

and compare them with the Southern California catalog... LdA, Godano, Lippiello, Nicodemi PRL 2006

Intertime distribution

We define Δt time between start of a flare and the next one

For a catalog with *Ne* events $n(\Delta t)$ counts number of events between $\Delta t e \Delta t + \lambda / Ne$ where λ sets the binning of raw data ($\lambda / Ne = 75$ sec for the California catalog)



Size distribution

Number of events with size between s/s_0 and $s/s_0 + \lambda/Ne$ $\lambda/Ne=1$ for the California catalog s_0 constant for each catalog



Omori law



Common physical mechanism?



Flare triggering depends on the entire history?Trigger models (ETAS) for solar flares?