























































Summary

- X-ray large-area survey have a long-lasting impact: RASS still producing scientific results after >15 years
- Cosmology with X-ray clusters is alive and kicking: let's keep pushing
- It's amazing what we could do so far with a few hundred clusters only (REFLEX, but also with serendipitous surveys probing evolution – Rosati et al. 2002)
- In addition to abundance and clustering (and their evolution), probe the growth rate of structure measuring redshift-space distortions for groups and clusters:
 - > Easier modelling: no non-linear motions (there are no Fingers of God of clusters!)
 - > $\beta \sigma_8$ independent of mass-observable relation (dynamical constraint)
 - > Select and combine easily objects with different bias to abate cosmic variance
- Caveats in using clusters for cosmology:
 - Combination of abundance and clustering gives constraints already dominated by systematics. Progress in understanding them is rapid (see Borgani's talk): eventually these will be understood and properly accounted for (no worse than weak lensing).
 - > For z-distortions, many details to be explored (simulations, e.g. D. Bianchi thesis)
 - Cluster cosmology needs redshifts! How much can we do with WFXT clusters with X-ray-line z's? Are errors sufficient? What can we do with photo-z's (e.g. LSST)?
 - EUCLID would be the perfect complement to WFXT, providing cluster redshifts and weak lensing masses