Observing the ICM in the outskirts: additional cluster science for WFXT

**S. Ettori** (INAF/OA Bologna) with S. Molendi et al.



- ICM properties in the outskirts: constraints from observations & simulations on the n<sub>gas</sub> & T<sub>gas</sub> profiles; *expectations for WFXT*
- Concentration  $M_{tot}$  relation &  $f_{gas}$ : a new approach to constrain  $\sigma_8$  &  $\Omega_m$
- CCs at high-z: evidence for evolution

#### **SCIENTIFIC JUSTIFICATION** from the Executive Summary of the White paper by Giacconi et al. 09

(a) When and how is entropy injected into the intergalactic medium (IGM)?

(b) What is the history of metal enrichment of the IGM?

(c) What physical mechanisms determine the presence of cool cores in galaxy clusters?

(d) How is the appearance of proto-clusters at  $z\sim2$  related to the peak of star formation activity and BH accretion?

#### **SCIENTIFIC JUSTIFICATION** from the Executive Summary of the White paper by Giacconi et al. 09

(a) When and how is entropy injected into the intergalactic medium (IGM)?

(b) What is the history of metal enrichment of the IGM?

A mapping of X-ray emission back in time & in the outskirts is required **SCIENTIFIC JUSTIFICATION** 

To characterize the thermodynamic of the X-ray emitting plasma at the virial radius

- ✓ Which  $n_{gas}$ , T and S<sub>b</sub> values do we expect at R<sub>200</sub>?
- Are simulated X-ray clusters consistent with the observed ones in the outskirts ?

## **SCIENTIFIC JUSTIFICATION**

To characterize the thermodynamic of the X-ray emitting plasma at the virial radius

- ✓ Which  $n_{gas}$ , T and  $S_b$  values do we expect at  $R_{200}$ ?
- Are simulated X-ray clusters consistent with the observed ones in the outskirts ?

#### Implications

✓ To calibrate the masses (gas and dark matter) in local galaxy clusters to use them as cosmological probes  $M_{tot}(< r) \propto r \times T_{gas}(r) \times (-\alpha_n - \alpha_T)$ 

✓ To study the accretion of primordial gas in cluster DM halos



R<sub>2500</sub> (~0.3 R<sub>200</sub> ~CXO limit)



**R**<sub>500</sub> (~0.7 R<sub>200</sub> ~few best CXO & XMM

cases)



**R**<sub>200</sub>



![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_0.jpeg)

## **S<sub>b</sub> at R<sub>200</sub>:** Observed clusters

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

Vikhlinin et al. (99): β~0.8 and larger by ~0.05 of the global fit value; see also Neumann 2005. Both use a sample of nearby clusters observed with ROSAT/ PSPC

> Study of S<sub>b</sub> at r >0.7 R<sub>200</sub> in a sample of high-z (z>0.3) objects with CXO (Ettori & Balestra 09)

fit of the derivative of ln(Sb)/ln(r): at 0.7 R<sub>200</sub>: -3.9 ± 0.7, at R<sub>200</sub>: -4.3 ± 0.9

1.00

Note:  $S_b \sim r^{1-6\beta} \dots \beta = 0.8/1.0$ , slope~-3.8/-5.0

## **T**<sub>gas</sub> at **R**<sub>200</sub>: Observed clusters

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Z**<sub>gas</sub> at **R**<sub>200</sub>: Observed clusters

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **ICM at R<sub>200</sub>:** Simulated clusters

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **ICM at R<sub>200</sub>:** Simulated clusters

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **ICM at R<sub>200</sub>:** Simulated clusters

Simulations: 4 massive objects [M<sub>vir</sub> 1.9-3.4e15, T<sub>vir</sub> 5.4-9.9 keV]

 $R_{vir}$  ... sphere that encloses a mean density of ~100 ρ<sub>c</sub>  $R_{2500}$ ,  $R_{500}$ ,  $R_{200}$  ≈ 0.2, 0.49, 0.74  $R_{vir}$ 

Quant.	0.2R <sub>vir</sub>	0.5R <sub>vir</sub>	0.7R <sub>vir</sub>	1.0R <sub>vir</sub>	
n <sub>gas</sub>	1	0.127 (0.004)	0.051 (0.002)	<b>0.018</b> (0.002)	
T <sub>gas</sub>	1	0.735 (0.044)	0.613 (0.055)	<b>0.491</b> (0.085)	

Independently from the physics, just gravity

## **CONCLUSIONS on** the ICM in the outskirts

We know what we'd observe at R<sub>200</sub> (T<sub>gas</sub>, Sb): X-ray observations & simulations provide a consistent picture with

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### **SAMPLE SELECTION**

To address issues under different cluster conditions, we define a matrix of exposures accordingly to the following properties: [CC/nCC, Regular / Merging-Complex / Turbolent]

(CC/nCC) Objects that present, or not, a CC with measured T drop in the centre;

(**Regular**) Objects with round isophotes that do not show evidence of substructures;

(Merging-Complex) Objects with evidence of major merging and/or multiple clumps;

(**Turbolent**) Objects with weak evidence of major mergers from imaging analysis but that show indications of no-relaxed ICM from spectral analysis (e.g. measured bulk motions) and/or combination of spectral and spatial analysis (reconstructed pressure map).

#### **STRATEGY**

By applying **the criterion** R<sub>200</sub> < R<sub>WF</sub> = 30', we select 23 / 45 objects present in the flux-limited sample of the brightest clusters in Mohr et al. (1999). We add also clusters like Perseus and Coma.

status	CC	nCC		
REGULAR	PKS0745 [A13], A1795 [A13] A2597 [A13c], Sersic159-03 [A13c] A133 [B1], A478 [B13]	<b>A1413</b> [A1]		
MERGING-COMPLEX	A2142 [A12], A3667 [A2] Shapley SC [A12], A399-401 [A12] 2A0335 [A13c]	A1689 [A1], A754 [A2]		
TURBOLENT	Perseus [A3]	Coma [A2]		

#### FEASIBILITY

• We use **simback.sh** with WF matrices

• We include **random fluctuation** in the src+bkg spectra integrated over 100 arcmin<sup>2</sup> :

at 1% level in nH value & normalization of the instrumental background; at 5% level in normalization & temperature values of the two local background component, normalization & photon-index of the CXB.

- The resolved fraction of the CXB is 80% in WF spectra
- Input values:

**Sb** in (0.5-2) keV from Mohr et al. (1999);

we also increase  $\beta$  by 20%

**Z** = 0.15 Zsun

 $T = 0.5 T_{mean}$  (see Roncarelli et al. 2006) & between 1 and 2 keV

#### **Bkg: dominant in GCs outskirts**

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

settori 8-Jul-2009 17:21

#### FEASIBILITY

Relative error in % at 90%	Exposure time = 50 ksec				
status	K	$T \\ \text{fixed } Z$	K	Т	Z
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{REGULAR/CC: A1795} \\ T=2, Z=0.15 \end{array}$	8	$11 \; (-1.5\sigma)$	15	$12 (-0.8\sigma)$	42
<b>TURBOLENT/CC</b> : Perseus T = 3.16, Z = 0.15 T = 2, Z = 0.15 $\beta_{20}, T = 3.16, Z = 0.15$ $\beta_{20}, T = 2, Z = 0.15$	8 - 32 -	$12 (-2.9\sigma)$ - 64 (0.1 $\sigma$ )	$14 \\ 15 \\ >100 \\ 65$	$\begin{array}{l} 18 \ (-0.9\sigma) \\ 12 \ (-1.0\sigma) \\ > 100 \\ 34 \ (-2.5\sigma) \end{array}$	62 53 >100
$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{MERGING/nCC: A1689} \\ T = 5.05, Z = 0.15 \\ T = 2, Z = 0.15 \\ \beta_{20}, T = 5.05, Z = 0.15 \\ \beta_{20}, T = 2, Z = 0.15 \end{array}$	8 - 43 -	$30 \ (-0.4\sigma)$ 54 \ (-2.7\sigma)	$16 \\ 15 \\ >100 \\ >100$	$25 \ (-0.4\sigma)$ 7 $\ (-5.2\sigma)$ >100 >100	$>100 \\ 41 \\ >100 \\ >100$

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **CONCLUSIONS on a cluster sample at R<sub>200</sub> for WFXT**

 K & T measured with ε~10-30% (90% c.l.) over 100 arcmin<sup>2</sup> with 50 ks

• Z can be constrained with  $\epsilon \sim 40\%$  if the nominal T<sub>gas</sub> is lower than predicted from numerical simulations (better in the range 1-2 keV).

• Steeper Sb in the outskirts (lower by a mean factor of 7) reduces significantly the level of accuracy: marginal constraints on K &T, no constraints on Z

## **Auxiliary cluster science**

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### [PRESENT] Clusters with M<sub>tot</sub> from T(r)

Allen et al. (08; T>5 keV): **42 obj 0.06<z<1.06**, 4 @z>0.8 Vikhlinin et al. (09; T>3-5 keV): **36-9 obj 0.35<z<0.9**; 2-2 @z>0.8

## **Gas mass fraction**

To constrain the cosmological model

 $\Omega_{\rm m}$  + $\Omega_{\Lambda}$  + $\Omega_{\rm k}$  =1

We combine a **dynamical** and a **geometrical** method (see also Allen et al, Blanchard et al., Ettori et al, Mohr et al) :

- 1. baryonic content of galaxy clusters is representative of the cosmic baryon fraction  $\Omega_{\rm b}$  /  $\Omega_{\rm m}$  (White et al. 93)
- 2. f<sub>gas</sub> is assumed constant in cosmic time in very massive systems (Sasaki 96, Pen 97)

## The cosmological dependence

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

500 relaxed hot (T>5 keV) obj with  $f_{gas}$  estimate precise at 5% level provides a FoM<sub>DETF</sub> ~15-40 (Rapetti et al. 08), comparable to:

ground-based SNIa ... 8-22 Space-based SNIa ... 19-27 Ground-based BAO ... 5-55 Space-based BAO ... 20-42 Space-based clusters cts ... 6-39

## The c-M<sub>tot</sub> relation

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

We (*Ettori et al.* 09 in prep) recover  $M_{gas} \& M_{tot}$  from 44 X-ray luminous galaxy clusters observed with XMM-Newton in the zrange 0.1-0.3 (from *Leccardi & Molendi 2008*) to constrain ( $\sigma_8$ ,  $\Omega_m$ ).

# The c-M<sub>tot</sub> relation: $\sigma_8 - \Omega_m$

• We constrain  $(\sigma_8, \Omega_m)$  by comparing our estimates of  $(c_{200}, M_{200})$  to the predictions tuned from CDM simulations (*black contours*)

• We consider both **systematics** (e.g. different T profiles; fitted n<sub>gas</sub>; no-limits on r<sub>s</sub>; two methods: ~10%) in our measurements & **scatter** from numerical predictions (~20%, e.g. Neto et al. 07)

• We add constraints from f<sub>bar</sub> (*red contours*).

![](_page_31_Figure_4.jpeg)

# $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{CONCLUSIONS on} \\ \textbf{c-M}_{tot}\textbf{-f}_{gas} \ \& \textbf{WFXT} \end{array}$

• X-ray techniques provide M<sub>gas</sub> & M<sub>tot</sub> with a good control of both statistical & systematic uncertainties

• WFXT: selection of a sample of relaxed, massive objects over a large *z*-range to constrain some cosmological params ( $\sigma_8$ ,  $\Omega_{m_1} \Omega_{\Lambda}$ ) through estimates in the c-M<sub>tot</sub>-f<sub>gas</sub> plane

• CAVEAT: N-body community 'd realize an adequate sets of cosmological simulations over a large box to properly predict the expected concentration associated to the massive (>10<sup>14</sup> Msun) DM halos as function of ( $\sigma_8$ ,  $\Omega_m$ ; z)