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Rationale: Why FLUKA for PET

FLAIR Complete IDE* for all FLUKA simulation phases
(input, geometry editor, debugging, post-processing output visualization)

*Integrated Development Environment

Physics Models
- All Hadrons, Leptons
- On-line evolution of induced radioactivity and dose
- Benchmarked in the MA energy range (in addition to HEP)

See talk G. Battistoni Id. 54

FLUKA Physics Models
- All Hadrons, Leptons
- On-line evolution of induced radioactivity and dose
- Benchmarked in the MA energy range (in addition to HEP)

Voxel geometries
- natively integrated with FLUKA tools for QA MC-TPS
- DICOM information from clinical CT to FLUKA Voxel geometry
Rationale: Why FLUKA for PET

FLUKA code development for (p,d), (n,d) reactions
Excitation functions $^{12}\text{C}(p,x)^{11}\text{C}$ and $^{16}\text{O}(p,x)^{15}\text{O}$, relevant for PET:
Now deuteron formation at low energies is treated directly and no longer through coalescence

(Data: CSISRS, NNDC, blue Fluka2011.2, red Fluka2013.0)
Most recent FLUKA code developments

- Scoring annihilation at rest and activity binning

- New flag for keeping track for (parent) Isotope:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} X &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} Y + \frac{0}{1} e + \nu \\
\frac{1}{2} p &\rightarrow \frac{0}{1} n + \frac{0}{1} e + \nu 
\end{align*}
\]

NSS-MIC 2017, Atlanta
Rationale: Why FLUKA for PET

Most recent FLUKA application for in-beam PET

Protons in PMMA

FLUKA PET tools: the Origins...

- Integrated in FLAIR
- Developed in 2013
- Tested for conventional PET
- Generic Radioactive sources
- Example for small PET scanner
- Fixed position of the PET scanner
- Only one image reconstruction algorithm (FBP)

Useful for:
- Inferring the dose map from the β+ emitter distribution
- Test new PET design/options
FLUKA PET tools: today

- Rototranslations
- Integration of post processing and scoring routines in Fluka
- New PET scanners and validation with NEMA source
- In-beam PET, beam time structure and acquisition time
- Studies with RIB (Radioactive Ion Beams)
- MLEM code
PET SCANNER MODELS

**PET Scanner Models**

- Ecat EXACT HR+
- Ecat HRRT
- Hi-Rez
- Allegro
- GE Advance
- MicroPET P4
- MicroPET Focus 220
- Mosaic

**BIOGRAPH, Siemens**
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**Introduction**

**Methods**

**Results**

**Conclusions**
Rototranslations

Possibility to roto-translate the scanner by defining a translation vector for the center and a rotation vector for the axis.
Geometry for New Detectors

Results on patient presented by E. Fiorina
Id. 143
FLUKA simulations

- **Specific PET parameters**

  Output unit Binary or ASCII
  - **Energy resolution** - Energy window interval around the 511keV (min-max)
  - Acquisition time interval (min-max) [s]
  - Time resolution of the detector [ns]
  - Pulse time of the detector [ns]
  - Hit dead time of the detector [ns]

- **5 Specific scoring routines**

  - Collection of input parameters
  - Collection of Energy deposited in each crystal
  - Stores info of particle and parents when created.
  - Dumps the buffer into an output file in list mode
  - Implementation of the hit dead time and energy window
The user can perform several analysis:
Ex. For in-beam PET with a C12 ion beam

**In space**

**In time**

Parent Isotope studies
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### Introduction

### Methods

### Results

### Conclusions
Coincidences file in list mode

The user can perform several analysis:
Ex. For in-beam PET with a C12 ion beam

In space
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Parent Isotope studies
The user can perform several analysis:
Ex. For in-beam PET with a C12 ion beam

**In space**

**In time**

- Parent Isotope studies
  - O-15
  - C-11
  - C-10
  - B-8
The user can perform several analysis:
Ex. For in-beam PET with a C12 ion beam

**In space**

**In time**

**Parent Isotope studies**
The user can perform several analysis on single hit:
Ex. For in-beam PET with a C13 ion beam.
Reconstruction codes

- **FBP (python) Filtered Back Projection**
  - Based on the Fourier slice theorem.
  - Simple, fast... not accurate enough
  - Available in scikit-image Python package.

- **MLEM Maximum-Likelihood Expectation-Maximization**
  - Best estimates the reconstruction image maximizing the likelihood function: Finds the mean number of radioactive disintegrations in the image that can produce the sinogram with the highest likelihood.
  - Iterative, more accurate

- **Integration with STIR**
  - Easy to implement Sinogram outputs to STIR
  - STIR Templates are ready for the users, to use different algorithms.
RESULTS

1. Conventional PET for small animals: Example of a commercial scanner (MicroPET P4 scanner)

2. In beam PET in Hadrontherapy with Beta + Radioactive Ion Beams
### MicroPET P4 scanner

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>P4 scanner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crystal dimensions [mm$^3$]</td>
<td>2.2x2.2x10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detector diameter (cm)</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transaxial Field of View (FOV in cm)</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial Field of View (cm)</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of detector blocks</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of detectors (8x8x168) (LSO)</td>
<td>10752</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Coincidence time window: 6 ns
- Hit dead-time: 500 ns
- Coincidence dead-time: 43 ns
- Energy window: 261-761 keV
- Acquisition time: 0-1800 ns.
- Detector resolution: 0.14 ns
- Pulse time: 50 ns
Voxelized phantom: Digimouse Atlas

Optimization for FLUKA courtesy of M.P.W. Chin

\( \text{\textit{F-18 source}, generated from USRBIN of Mouse PET image} \)
MicroPET P4 scanner

Voxelized phantom: Digimouse Atlas
Run details:
- Simulation ran at CERN Cluster.
- 100 jobs, 5 cycles per job = 500 runs
- 5 million primaries per run

Results:
- Average CPU time per cycle: 4.16 ± 0.09 hours
- ~35 million Coincidences:
  - 99.998% trues
  - 0.002% scatters
  - 0% randoms

Trues coincidence list file is a 20Gb file...
Some hours to process the input files and to reconstruct MLEM up to 70 iterations
Reconstructed images

Mouse Phantom CT
neuroimage.usc.edu-Digimouse

FBP (python) Filtered Back Projection

MLEM (new code!) Maximum Likelihood Expectation-Maximization

MicroPET FOCUS PET
In-beam PET with RIB

- Annihilations at rest results: Imaging Potential Estimator

**DOSE**

- C-11
- C-12
- O-15
- O-16

**ANNIHILATIONS AT REST**

SOBP in water phantom

R. S. Augusto et al., NSS-MIC 2016, Strasbourg
**Towards a clinical in-beam PET scenario**

PET scanner model

Siemens Biograph mCT as in HIT.

R. S. Augusto et al., PTCOG 2017 Yokohama

Dose delivery of 1 Gy For SOBPs, 11C beam
Towards a clinical in-beam PET scenario

Acquisition time intervals:

- **Beam time**
- **Full Acquisition**
- **Acquisition Offline**
- **Acquisition In-room**
- **Acquisition Inter-spill†**
- **Acquisition In-spill‡**
- **Acquisition Online**

EOB: End of BEAM
Towards a clinical in-beam PET scenario: offline 25 min

Due to the half-life difference between C-11 and O-15 (∼20m & ∼2m) - C-11 outperforms O-15 in longer acquisitions after irradiation.

R. S. Augusto et al., RAD 2017
Towards a clinical in-beam PET scenario: online 130 s

R. S. Augusto et al., RAD 2017
Towards a clinical in-beam PET scenario: in-spill (16 spills)

Acquisition In-spill

R. S. Augusto et al., RAD 2017
Conclusions and next steps

On going works with PET tools.....

- Validation of the Clinical Biograph mCT
  - Comparison with other codes
  - NEMA Image Quality phantom validation

- Radioactive Ion beam validation with NIRS experimental results

- In-beam PET with INSIDE for $^{12}$C and short acquisition time
Thanks for your attention!
Back-up slides
Conventional PET

- non invasive imaging modality
- nuclear medicine field
- provides three-dimensional (3D) tomographic images of radiotracer distribution within a living subject (molecular imaging)

Steps:

1. Radiotracer production
2. Administration of the radiotracer
3. Data Acquisition
4. Image Reconstruction

Unstable Parent Nucleus

Proton decays to Neutron → positron and neutrino emitted

Positron combines with e⁻ and annihilates

Two antiparallel photons produced

(Line Of Response)

Coincidence Unit
FLUKA Monte Carlo code describes b+ emitter distribution for CT-based calculations in patient using

- Planning CT (segmented into 27 material) and same CT-range calibration curve as TPS (Parodi et al MP 34, 2007, PMB 52, 2007)
- Experimental cross-sections for b+ emitter production
- Semi-empirical biological modeling (Parodi et al IJROBP 2007)
- Convolution with 3D Gaussian kernel (7-7.5 mm FWHM)
**PROCESSING**

- **Arc correction.** The radial bin size is corrected for the circular shape of the detector.
- **Maximum Ring Difference (MRD).** The difference between two rings events can be restricted to a maximum value.
- **Span.** Extent of axial data combined. Reduces the size of the stored data.
- **Mashing factor.** Reduction of the angular sampling. Reduces the size of the stored data.
- **Number of segments.** Parameter related to MRD and span number. Defines the number of segments the cells in the Michelogram can be divided.
**PROCESSING: Coincidences**

- *True coincidences*, where the line drawn between the two hit detector elements for that event passes through the point of origin
- *Scatter coincidences*, where one or both 511-keV photons undergo Compton scatter (unwanted)
- *Random coincidences* occur when two distinct radionuclei contribute one detected photon (unwanted)
- *γ-coincidences* occur when a 511 keV photon and a γ-photon are detected (unwanted)
List-Mode ML-EM

For certain applications, as when using continuous detectors, where the spatial discretization of the measurements leads to loss of information, it is more appropriate to use a list-mode version of the ML-EM algorithm [*]. Using this method, the main summation runs through the N events in the list-mode data (l = 1, ⋅⋅⋅, N). The algorithm is given by:

\[ f_{j}^{k+1} = \frac{f_{j}^{k}}{S_{j}} \sum_{l=1}^{N} a_{ilj} \frac{1}{\sum_{b=1}^{J} a_{ilb} f_{b}^{k}}. \]

Here, \( b = 1, \cdots, J \) is the pixel index in the projection operation. The system matrix \( a_{ilj} \) is the probability that a detected emission from pixel \( j \) is detected in the \( i \)th detector-pair, corresponding to event \( l \). The list-mode ML-EM algorithm is used for image reconstruction throughout this work.

**Scoring of PET events**

- **During FLUKA simulation**: Information of the hits is stored in a buffer and dumped list mode.

- **Routines in scoring folder**:
  - **Usrini.f**: Collects the scoring parameters from input. Temporary...
  - **Mgdraw.f**: Calls petsco.f if energy deposited in PET crystals, and petddt.f and petdmp.f when buffer is full. Temporary...
  - **stupre(f)_pet.f**: Stores info of particle and parents when created.
  - **Petsco.f**: Routine to deal with the energy depositions in PET crystals.
  - **Petddt.f**: Routine that implements the hit dead time and energy window.
  - **Petdmp.f**: Routine that dumps the buffer information in list mode (ascii/bin).
  - **(PETCOM)**: Common with parameters and buffer definitions.
  - **Udcdrl.f**: Direction biasing. Normally I don't use it, but it is there anyway.
  - **Compile**: Compile script.
Usrini.f (Future PET card)

- Example of FLUKA card to activate PET routines:
  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>USRICALL</th>
<th>PET00000</th>
<th>PET00575</th>
<th>41.</th>
<th>3.61E-04</th>
<th>5.61E-04</th>
<th>SCORE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USRICALL</td>
<td>0.</td>
<td>1.E+99</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>50.</td>
<td>-500.</td>
<td>SCORE2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Only scoring parameters, no PET geometry involved.
- If SDUM=SCORE:
  - WHAT(1): Minimum region of PET crystals
  - WHAT(2): Maximum region of PET crystals
  - WHAT(3): Output unit (<0 binary, >0 ascii)
  - WHAT(4): Minimum energy window limit [GeV]
  - WHAT(5): Maximum energy window limit [GeV]

- If SDUM=SCORE2:
  - WHAT(1): Minimum acquisition time [s]
  - WHAT(2): Maximum acquisition time [s]
  - WHAT(3): Time resolution of the detector [ns]
  - WHAT(4): Pulse time of the detector [ns]
  - WHAT(5): Hit dead time of the detector [ns] (<0 Paralyzable, >0 Non-paralizable, =0 not used)